UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/549,669	09/19/2005	Yasuyuki Miyake	032405R230	3696
	7590 06/02/200 BRELL & RUSSELL	EXAMINER		
1130 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W., SUITE 1130			LEWIS, TISHA D	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3681	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/02/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/549,669	MIYAKE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	TISHA D. LEWIS	3681				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
<i>;</i> —	, — · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
	pa	3 3. <b>3</b> . <b>2</b> . 3.				
Disposition of Claims						
<ul> <li>4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-18 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5) ☐ Claim(s) 4-6 and 12-18 is/are allowed.</li> <li>6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,7,8,10 and 11 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 and 9 is/are objected to.</li> <li>8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.</li> </ul>						
Application Papers						
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date 12/5/2007.	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa	te				

# **DETAILED ACTION**

The following is a response to the amendment received on February 28, 2008 which has been entered.

#### Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed on December 5, 2007 has been considered.

## Response to Amendment

Claims 1-18 are pending in the application. Claims 7-18 are new.

-The 102(b) rejection of claim 1 has been withdrawn due to applicant amending claim 1 with limitations not disclosed by the prior art of record used in the rejection.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 7, 8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Usuki et al 5,743,826 (IDS reference). As to claim 1, Usuki et al discloses a property correcting system for an automatic transmission for shift operation by engaging and disengaging frictional elements in a multistage transmission (4) arranged to a torque converter (3) using a hydraulic control valve (hydraulic circuit with oil pressure electromagnetic valves), means for measuring a controlled variable (driving duty ratio) of the valve relative to a difference between an input revolution (Ne) of the converter

and turbine revolution (Nt) of the converter, in a state that the input revolutions of the converter is within a predetermined range (i.e., N1, N2 or N3) and means for storing an amount of correction (via S81) based on the controlled variable to an electronic control device (7) for controlling the transmission. As to claim 7, the control valve is used for driving one of the frictional elements (i.e., Figure 2). As to claim 8, means for measuring the controlled variable is in a state wherein an output shaft (between 4 and 50 in Figure 1) of the transmission is installed. As to claim 10, means for measuring is in a state where the transmission is set to a predetermined gear shift position (i.e., neutral to drive, N-D range).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Usuki et al in view of Henneken 6,931,924. Usuki et al discloses an automatic transmission having a correction system, but does not disclose if the transmission is tested on a bench.

Henneken discloses a method for testing the function of an automatic transmission on a test bench using the input parameters of the transmission.

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to test the transmission of Usuki et al on a test bench in view of

Henneken since it is well known in the art to use test benches for transmissions to find defects before installing in vehicle.

# Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 and 12-18 are allowed.

Claim 2, 3 and 9 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/549,669 Page 5

Art Unit: 3681

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to TISHA D. LEWIS whose telephone number is 571-272-7093. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM TO 5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, CHARLES A. MARMOR can be reached on 571-272-7095. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Tdl May 19, 2008 /TISHA D. LEWIS/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3681